

Department of State

**Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs (ECA) Request
for Grant Proposals:** International Visitor Leadership
Program Assistance Award

Announcement Type: New Cooperative Agreement

Funding Opportunity Number: ECA/PE/V-14-05-OY-B

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 19.402

Key Dates: October 1, 2013 - September 30, 2014

Application Deadline: June 7, 2013

Executive Summary: The Office of International Visitors, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs (ECA/PE/V), United States Department of State (DOS), announces an open competition for up to six assistance awards to administer the International Visitor Leadership Program (IVLP). The IVLP is the U.S. Department of State's premier professional exchange program. Launched in 1940, the IVLP is a professional exchange program that seeks to build mutual understanding between the U.S. and other nations through carefully designed short-term visits to the U.S. for current and emerging foreign leaders. These visits reflect the International Visitors' professional interests and support the foreign policy goals of the United States.

Organizations must submit a proposal that falls between the floor of \$500,000 and the ceiling of \$5,245,000.

The amount of funding available is approximate and will be determined pending the availability of FY 2014 funds. The assistance awards, all together, will support programming for approximately 4,800 International Visitors (IVs).

Public and private non-profit organizations meeting the provisions described in Internal Revenue Code section 26 USC 501(c)(3) may submit proposals. Organizations eligible for renewal awards in FY 2014, may **either** submit a proposal to renew their grant under solicitation number ECA/PE/V-14-01 **or** may submit a proposal for the FY 2014 IVLP RFGP under the solicitation number ECA/PE/V-14-05-OY-B. Applicants may submit only one proposal under this competition. If proposals are received from the same applicant for both the renewal solicitation and in response to the RFGP, ALL submissions will be declared technically ineligible and will receive no further consideration in the review process. It is the Bureau's intent to award not more than six cooperative agreements (one base year plus two option years) for an estimated total amount of thirty-six months. Please see section II.) Award Information, below for additional details.

I. Funding Opportunity Description:**Authority:**

Overall grant making authority for this program is contained in the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961, Public Law 87-256, as amended, also known as the Fulbright-Hays Act. The purpose of the Act is "to enable the Government of the United States to increase mutual understanding between the people of the United States and the people of other countries...; to strengthen the ties which unite us with other nations by demonstrating the educational and cultural interests, developments, and achievements of the people of the United States and other nations...and thus to assist in the development of friendly, sympathetic and peaceful relations between the United States and the other countries of the world." The funding authority for the program above is provided through legislation.

ECA will perform an annual performance evaluation/review. Satisfactory performance is a condition of continued administration of the program and execution of all option years. Proposal narratives should include a brief commitment to implement the program for the base year, plus two additional option years, pending successful performance

and availability of funds; the narrative should focus on details specific to the implementation of the base year program, with the understanding that the program design, duration and participant numbers would be similar when/if the subsequent two option years are exercised.

Purpose: The International Visitor Leadership Program (IVLP) seeks to increase mutual understanding between the U.S. and foreign publics through carefully designed professional programs. IVLP projects support U.S. foreign policy objectives. Participants are current or potential foreign leaders in government, politics, media, education, science, non-government organizations (NGOs), the arts, and other key fields. They are selected by officers of U.S. embassies overseas and are approved by the DOS staff in Washington, D.C. Since the program's inception in 1940, nearly 200,000 distinguished International Visitors have participated in the program. More than 335 program alumni subsequently became heads of state or government in their home countries. All IVLP projects maintain a non-partisan character.

The Bureau seeks proposals from nonprofit organizations for development and implementation of professional programs for Bureau-sponsored International Visitors to the U.S. Once

the awards are made, separate proposals will be required for each group project [Single Country (SCP), Sub-Regional (SRP), Regional (RP), and Multi-Regional (MRP)] as well as less formal proposals for Individual and Individuals Traveling Together (ITT) and Voluntary Visitors (VolVis) projects. Each project will focus on a substantive theme. Some typical IVLP projects themes are: (1) agriculture; (2) counterterrorism; (3) democracy and human rights (4) economic and business development; (5) education; (6) environmental issues; (7) freedom of information; (8) international crime; (9) media; (10) rule of law; (11) science and technology; (12) tolerance and diversity; (13) U.S. foreign policy, and (14) U.S. government and political system, and (15) Women's Issues.

IVLP projects must conform to all Bureau requirements and guidelines. Please refer to the Program Objectives, Goals, and Implementation (POGI) document for a more detailed description of each type of IVLP project.

Guidelines: Goals and objectives for each specific IVLP projects will be shared with the award recipients at an appropriate time following the announcement of the assistance awards.

Award recipients will consult closely with the responsible ECA/PE/V Program Officer throughout the development, implementation, and evaluation of each IVLP project. Prospective award recipients should demonstrate the potential to develop the projects with the following characteristics.

1. Projects must contain substantive meetings, presented by experts that focus on foreign policy goals and project objectives. Meetings, site visits, and other activities should promote dialogue between participants and their U.S. professional counterparts. Projects must be balanced and show different viewpoints.

2. Most projects will be 21 days in length and most will begin in Washington, D.C., with a program opening designed to provide an overview of the issue and a central examination of federal policies regarding the issue. Well-paced itineraries for each project usually include visits to four or five communities. Itineraries ideally include diverse geographical and cultural regions of the U.S. and showcase both urban and rural communities, as appropriate to the project theme.

3. Project itineraries should provide opportunities for participants to experience the diversity of American society and culture. Participants in RPs or MRPs can be divided into smaller sub-groups for simultaneous visits to different communities for a portion of their project, with subsequent opportunities to share their experiences with the full group when reunited.
4. Projects should provide opportunities for the participants to share a meal or similar experience (home hospitality) in the homes of Americans of diverse occupational, age, gender, and ethnic groups. Some individual and group projects might include an opportunity for an overnight stay (home stay) in an American home.
5. Projects should provide opportunities for participants to address student, civic and professional groups in relaxed and informal settings.
6. Participants should have appropriate opportunities for site visits and hands-on experiences that are relevant to project themes. The award recipient may propose professional "shadowing" experiences with U.S. professional colleagues for some projects (a typical

shadowing experience means spending a half- or full-workday with a professional counterpart.)

7. Projects should also allow time for participants to reflect on their experiences and, in group projects, to share observations with project colleagues. Participants should have opportunities to visit cultural and tourist sites during their project.
8. Award recipients must make arrangements for community visits through affiliates of the National Council for International Visitors (NCIV). If no NCIV affiliate exists in a community deemed vital for the success of the project, the award recipient must work with other local organizations in the community to arrange appropriate programming. The award recipient must contact the CIVs or other local organizations to discuss project themes, the availability of project dates, local resources and logistics.

Qualifications: Proposals must demonstrate a minimum of four years of successful experience in coordinating international exchanges of a similar nature and must demonstrate the ability to develop and administer IVLP projects of all types.

1. Proposals must demonstrate an applicant's broad knowledge of international relations and U.S. foreign policy issues.
2. Proposals must demonstrate an applicant's broad knowledge of the United States and U.S. domestic issues.
3. All award recipients must have a Washington, D.C. presence. Applicants who do not currently have a Washington, D.C. presence must include a detailed plan in their proposal for establishing such a presence by October 1, 2013. The costs related to establishing such a presence must be borne by the award recipient. No such costs may be included in the budget submission in this proposal.
4. Proposals must demonstrate that applicant has an established resource base of programming contacts and the ability to keep this resource base continuously updated. This resource base should include speakers, thematic specialists, or practitioners in a wide range of professional fields in both the private and public sectors.
5. All proposals must demonstrate sound financial management and appropriate internal financial controls.

6. The proposal should demonstrate a clear understanding of the work to be undertaken and outline the responsibilities of all key personnel involved. The proposal should set forth in detail the award recipient's management approach and a work plan/timeframe. All proposals must contain a sound management plan to carry out the volume of work outlined in the Project Objectives, Goals, and Implementation guidelines (POGI).
7. Applicant organizations must include a proposed budget and identify the number of visitors the proposed funding levels will support.
8. Proposals may utilize additional staff (seasonal teams) during particularly busy months of the IVLP cycle to accommodate additional projects, if requested. The proposed budget should also include a separate budget spreadsheet for any seasonal program team(s) proposed.
9. Applicants must have the ability to implement a direct billing payment system with hotels in Washington, DC and across the U.S. for all IVLP projects. The plan should identify any proposed savings to the United States Government anticipated from implementing a direct billing payment system.

10. Applicants must include in their proposal narrative a discussion of "lessons learned" from past exchange coordination experiences, and how these will be applied in implementing the International Visitor Leadership Program.
11. The Award recipient must have e-mail capability, access to Internet resources, and the award recipient's office technology must be capable of exchanging information with all partners involved in the International Visitor Leadership Program. The award recipient must have the capability to electronically communicate through eNPA (Electronic National Program Agency), the software application that allows award recipients to share information and data electronically through the Department of State's Exchange Visitor Database (EVDB-e) and with the Councils for International Visitors (CIVs), as well as to produce a national program book and other supporting documents (e.g., appointment requests and confirmations, participant welcome letters, and mailing labels) generated directly into Microsoft Word.

12. Applicants must include as a separate attachment under TAB G of their proposals the following:

- a. Samples of at least two schedules for international exchange or training programs that they have coordinated within the past four years that demonstrate their organization's competence and abilities to conduct the activities outlined in the RFGP;
- b. Samples of orientation and self-evaluation materials used in past international exchange or training programs.

Requirements for Past Performance References:

In addition to Letters of Endorsement, DOS will also use past performance as an indicator of an applicant's ability to successfully perform the work. TAB E of the proposal must contain any letters of endorsement and between three and five references who may be called upon to discuss recently completed or ongoing work performed for professional exchange programs (which may include the IVLP). The reference must contain the information outlined below.

Please note that the requirements for submission of past performance information also apply to all proposed sub-recipients when the total estimated cost of the sub-award is over \$100,000.

At a minimum, applicants must provide the following information for each reference:

- Name of the reference organization
- Project name
- Project description
- Performance period of the contract/grant
- Amount of the contract/grant
- Technical contact person and telephone number for referenced organization
- Administrative contact person and telephone number for referenced organization

DOS may contact representatives from the organizations cited in the examples to obtain information on the applicant's past performance. DOS also may obtain past performance information from sources other than those identified by the applicant.

Personnel: Applicants must include complete and current resumes of the key personnel who will be involved in the program management, design, and implementation of IVLP programs. Each resume is limited to no more than two pages per person.

Budget Guidelines: Applicants are required to submit a comprehensive line-item administrative budget in accordance with the instructions in the Solicitation Package (Proposal Submission Instructions.) The submission must include a summary budget and a detailed budget showing all administrative costs. Proposed staffing and costs associated with staffing must be appropriate to the requirements outlined in the RFGP and in the Solicitation Package. Cost sharing is encouraged and should be shown in the budget presentation. Applicants must prove fiscal management integrity. Please refer to the Solicitation Package for complete budget guidelines and formatting instructions.

All liaisons shall be with the designated elements of the DOS relative to the following responsibilities incurred by the recipient under this agreement:

- A. Program Administration - Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Office of International Visitors, Community Resources Division, ECA/PE/V/C
- B. Financial - Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Grants Division, ECA-IIP/EX/G

II. Award Information:

Type of Award: Cooperative Agreement

ECA's level of involvement in this program is listed under number I above.

Fiscal Year Funds: FY 2014 - Base Year; *FY 2015 - option year-one; FY 2016 - option year - two*, pending successful performance and availability of funds.

Approximate Total Funding: \$15,735,000. This figure represents base year plus two option years, pending successful performance and availability of funds.

Approximate Number of Awards: Not to exceed six

Floor of Award Range: \$500,000

Ceiling of Award Range: \$5,245,000

Approximate Average Award: \$1,800,000

Anticipated Award Date: Pending availability of funds, October 1, 2013.

Anticipated Project Completion Date: September 30, 2016

This date reflects the anticipated duration of the award - one base year plus two option years.

Additional Information:

A Cooperative agreement(s) will be awarded for a period of 12 months (base year) with options for two additional 12 month periods (option years one and two). ECA will notify the recipient of our intention to exercise or not to exercise an option year in advance of expiration of the current year after an internal evaluation of the recipient's performance. The decision to exercise option years will depend both on the satisfactory performance of the recipient and the availability of funds.

III. Eligibility Information:

III.1. Eligible applicants:

Applications may be submitted by public and private non-profit organizations meeting the provisions described in Internal Revenue Code section 26 USC 501(c)(3).

III.2. Cost Sharing or Matching Funds: There is no minimum or maximum percentage required for this competition. However, the Bureau encourages applicants to provide maximum levels of cost sharing and funding in support of its programs.

When cost sharing is offered, it is understood and agreed that the applicant must provide the amount of cost sharing as stipulated in its proposal and later included in an approved agreement. Cost sharing may be in the form of allowable direct or indirect costs. For accountability, award recipients must maintain written records to support all costs which are claimed as contribution, as well as costs to be paid by the Federal government. Such records are subject to audit. The basis for determining the value of cash and in-kind contributions must be in accordance with OMB Circular A-110, (Revised), Subpart C.23 - Cost Sharing and Matching. In the event you do not provide the minimum amount of cost sharing as stipulated in the approved budget, ECA's contribution will be reduced in like proportion.

III.3. Other Eligibility Requirements:

a.) Bureau grant guidelines require that organizations with less than four years experience in conducting international exchanges be limited to \$130,000 in Bureau funding. ECA anticipates making no more than six awards, in amounts of \$500,000 up to \$5,245,000 to support administrative costs required to implement this exchange program. Therefore, organizations with less than four years experience in conducting international exchanges are ineligible to apply under this competition. The Bureau encourages applicants to provide maximum levels of cost sharing and funding in support of its programs.

b.) Technical Eligibility: All proposals must comply with the technical eligibility requirements specified in the Proposal Submission Instructions (PSI) and the Project Objectives, Goals, and Implementation (POGI) documents. Failure to do so will result in proposals being declared technically ineligible and given no further consideration in the review process.

- Eligible applicants may not submit more than one proposal in this competition. In addition, applicants may not apply under this competition if they apply under a renewal solicitation.

If more than one proposal is received from the same applicant, all submissions will be declared technically ineligible and will receive no further consideration in the review process. **Please note:** Applicant organizations are defined by their legal name, and EIN number as stated on their completed SF-424 and additional supporting documentation outlined in the Proposal Submission Instructions (PSI) document.

- Organizations meeting the provisions described in IRS regulation 26 CFR 1.501, and that are eligible for renewal awards in FY 2014, may either submit a proposal to renew their grant under solicitation number ECA/PE/V-14-01 or may submit a proposal for the FY 2014 IVLP RFGP under the solicitation number ECA/PE/V-14-05-OY-B. If proposals are received from the same applicant for both the renewal solicitation and in response to the RFGP, ALL submissions will be declared technically ineligible and will receive no further consideration in the review process.

IV.) Application and Submission Information:

Note: Please read the complete announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

IV.1 Contact Information to Request an Application Package:

Please contact the Community Relations Branch, Office of International Visitors, ECA/PE/V/C/R, SA-5, 3rd Floor, U.S. Department of State, 2200 C Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20037, (202)632-3288, or email JohnsonPA2@state.gov to request a Solicitation Package. Please refer to the Funding Opportunity Number ECA/PE/V-14-05-OY-B located at the top of this announcement when making your request. Alternatively, an electronic application package may be obtained from grants.gov. Please see section IV.3f for further information.

The Solicitation Package contains the Proposal Submission Instruction (PSI) document which consists of required application forms, and standard guidelines for proposal preparation.

It also contains the Project Objectives, Goals and Implementation (POGI) document, which provides specific information, award criteria and budget instructions tailored to this competition.

Please specify Patricia Johnson and refer to the Funding Opportunity Number ECA/PE/V-14-05-OY-B located at the top of this announcement on all other inquiries and correspondence.

IV.2. To Download a Solicitation Package Via Internet:

The entire Solicitation Package may be downloaded from the Bureau's website at <http://eca.state.gov/organizational-funding> or from the Grants.gov website at <http://www.grants.gov>.

Please read all information before downloading.

IV.3. Content and Form of Submission: Applicants must follow all instructions in the Solicitation Package. The application should be submitted per the instructions under IV.3f. "Application Deadline and Methods of Submission" section below.

IV.3a. You are required to have a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number to apply for a grant or cooperative agreement from the U.S. Government. This number is a nine-digit identification number, which

uniquely identifies business entities. Obtaining a DUNS number is easy and there is no charge. To obtain a DUNS number, access <http://www.dunandbradstreet.com> or call 1-866-705-5711. Please ensure that your DUNS number is included in the appropriate box of the SF - 424 which is part of the formal application package.

IV.3b. All proposals must contain an executive summary, proposal narrative and budget.

Please Refer to the Solicitation Package. It contains the mandatory Proposal Submission Instructions (PSI) document and the Project Objectives, Goals and Implementation (POGI) document for additional formatting and technical requirements.

IV.3c. All ECA award recipient organizations must maintain current registration in GrantSolutions. Failure to register in GrantSolutions will render award recipient organizations ineligible from receiving funding. Award recipients must maintain accurate and up-to-date information in GrantSolutions until all program and financial activity and reporting have been completed. Recipients must review and update the information at least annually after the initial registration and more frequently

if required information changes or another award is granted. If an organization is not already registered in GrantSolutions, they may register for it directly at <https://www.grantsolutions.gov>.

Support for Grantee Organizations when registering on GrantSolutions.gov is available from 8:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m. EST, Monday through Friday, and can be reached via email (help@grantsolutions.gov) or phone at 1-866-577-0771.

IV.3d. All federal award recipients must maintain current registrations in the System for Award Management (SAM) database. Recipients must maintain accurate and up-to-date information in www.SAM.gov until all program and financial activity and reporting have been completed. Recipients must review and update the information at least annually after the initial registration and more frequently if required information changes or another award is granted. Failure to register in SAM will render applicants ineligible to receive funding.

You must have nonprofit status with the IRS at the time of application. **Please note:** Effective January 7, 2009, all applicants for ECA federal assistance awards must include in their application the names of directors and/or senior

executives (current officers, trustees, and key employees, regardless of amount of compensation). In fulfilling this requirement, applicants must submit information in one of the following ways:

- 1) Those who file Internal Revenue Service Form 990, "Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax," must include a copy of relevant portions of this form.

- 2) Those who do not file IRS Form 990 must submit information above in the format of their choice.

In addition to final program reporting requirements, award recipients will also be required to submit a one-page document, derived from their program reports, listing and describing their grant activities. For award recipients, the names of directors and/or senior executives (current officers, trustees, and key employees), as well as the one-page description of grant activities, will be transmitted by the State Department to OMB, along with other information required by the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA), and will be made available to the public by the Office of Management and Budget on its

USASpending.gov website as part of ECA's FFATA reporting requirements.

If your organization is a private nonprofit which has not received a grant or cooperative agreement from ECA in the past three years, or if your organization received nonprofit status from the IRS within the past four years, you must submit the necessary documentation to verify nonprofit status as directed in the PSI document. Failure to do so will cause your proposal to be declared technically ineligible.

IV.3e. Please take into consideration the following information when preparing your proposal narrative:

IV.3e.1 Adherence to all Regulations Governing the J Visa

The Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs places critically important emphases on the security and proper administration of the Exchange Visitor (J visa) Programs and adherence by award recipients and sponsors to all regulations governing the J visa. Therefore, proposals should demonstrate the applicant's capacity to meet all requirements governing the administration of the Exchange Visitor Programs as set forth in 22 CFR 62, including the

oversight of Responsible Officers and Alternate Responsible Officers, screening and selection of program participants, provision of pre-arrival information and orientation to participants, monitoring of participants, proper maintenance and security of forms, record-keeping, reporting and other requirements.

ECA will be responsible for issuing DS-2019 forms to participants in this program.

A copy of the complete regulations governing the administration of Exchange Visitor (J) programs is available at <http://j1visa.state.gov> or from:

Office of Designation, Private Sector Programs Division
U.S. Department of State
SA-44, Suite 668
301 4th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20547

Please refer to Solicitation Package for further information.

IV.3e.2 Diversity, Freedom and Democracy Guidelines

Pursuant to the Bureau's authorizing legislation, programs must maintain a non-political character and should be balanced and representative of the diversity of American political, social, and cultural life. "Diversity" should be interpreted in the broadest sense and encompass differences including, but not limited to ethnicity, race, gender, religion, geographic location, socio-economic status, and disabilities. Applicants are strongly encouraged to adhere to the advancement of this principle both in program administration and in program content. Please refer to the review criteria under the 'Support for Diversity' section for specific suggestions on incorporating diversity into your proposal. Public Law 104-319 provides that "in carrying out programs of educational and cultural exchange in countries whose people do not fully enjoy freedom and democracy," the Bureau "shall take appropriate steps to provide opportunities for participation in such programs to human rights and democracy leaders of such countries." Public Law 106 - 113 requires that the governments of the countries described above do not have inappropriate influence in the selection process. Proposals should reflect advancement of these

goals in their program contents, to the full extent deemed feasible.

IV.3e.3. Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Proposals must include a plan to monitor and evaluate the project's success, both as the activities unfold and at the end of the program. The Bureau recommends that your proposal include a draft survey questionnaire or other technique plus a description of a methodology to use to link outcomes to original project objectives. The Bureau expects that the recipient organization will track participants or partners and be able to response to key evaluation questions, including satisfaction with the program, learning as a result of the program, changes in behavior as a result of the program, and effects of the program on institutions (institutions in which participants work or partner institutions). The evaluation plan should include indicators that measure gains in mutual understanding as well as substantive knowledge. Successful monitoring and evaluation depend heavily on setting clear goals and outcomes at the outset of a program. Your evaluation plan should include a description of your project's objectives, your anticipated project

outcomes, and how and when you intend to measure these outcomes (performance indicators).

The more that outcomes are "smart" (specific, measurable, attainable, results-oriented, and placed in a reasonable time frame), the easier it will be to conduct the evaluation. You should also show how your project objectives link to the goals of the program described in this RFGP.

Your monitoring and evaluation plan should clearly distinguish between program outputs and outcomes. Outputs are products and services delivered, often stated as an amount. Output information is important to show the scope or size of project activities, but it cannot substitute for information about progress towards outcomes or the results achieved. Examples of outputs include the number of people trained or the number of seminars conducted. Outcomes, in contrast, represent specific results a project is intended to achieve and is usually measured as an extent of change. Findings on outputs and outcomes should both be reported, but the focus should be on outcomes.

We encourage you to assess the following four levels of outcomes, as they relate to the program goals set out in the RFGP (listed here in increasing order of importance):

1. **Participant satisfaction** with the program and exchange experience.
2. **Participant learning**, such as increased knowledge, aptitude, skills, and changed understanding and attitude. Learning includes both substantive (subject-specific) learning and mutual understanding.
3. **Participant behavior**, concrete actions to apply knowledge in work or community; greater participation and responsibility in civic organizations; interpretation and explanation of experiences and new knowledge gained; continued contacts between participants, community members, and others.
4. **Institutional changes**, such as increased collaboration and partnerships, policy reforms, new programming, and organizational improvements.

Please note: Consideration should be given to the appropriate timing of data collection for each level of outcome. For example, satisfaction is usually captured as

a short-term outcome, whereas behavior and institutional changes are normally considered longer-term outcomes. Overall, the quality of your monitoring and evaluation plan will be judged on how well it 1) specifies intended outcomes; 2) gives clear descriptions of how each outcome will be measured; 3) identifies when particular outcomes will be measured; and 4) provides a clear description of the data collection strategies for each outcome (i.e., surveys, interviews, or focus groups). (Please note that evaluation plans that deal only with the first level of outcomes [satisfaction] will be deemed less competitive under the present evaluation criteria.)

Recipient organizations will be required to provide reports analyzing their evaluation findings to the Bureau in their regular program reports. All data collected, including survey responses and contact information, must be maintained for a minimum of three years and provided to the Bureau upon request.

IV.3e.4. Virtual Exchange Component: ECA welcomes innovative ideas on how organizations can leverage appropriate mobile and/or online technologies to maintain engagement among exchange participants, encourage project collaboration and widen participation in the overall project to a broader audience. ECA strongly encourages organizations submitting proposals in response to this solicitation to suggest one or more virtual exchange components to complement the in-person exchange. The virtual exchange component(s) could come before, during and/or after the physical exchange. The objective for the virtual exchange component(s), defined as technology-enabled, sustainable, people-to-people, cross-cultural exchanges, is to augment the impact of the in-person exchange described in this solicitation. ECA encourages organizations to propose virtual exchange ideas that take advantage of ECA's existing web and social networking platforms, including our International Exchange Alumni space. Virtual exchange components would be coordinated with and approved by the ECA program office and U.S. missions abroad on a project by project basis.

IV.3e.5. Alumni Outreach Follow On Programming

No alumni outreach follow-on programming is expected or will be funded. However, the Bureau expects that all recipient organization(s) will encourage and assist participants in registering and using the State Alumni website (alumni.state.gov) and the Exchanges Connect website (connect.state.gov) at multiple points during their exchange experience, at a minimum during program orientations and pre-departure briefings as well as at the end of programs to encourage participants to create groups and/or forums on exchanges connect. Proposals should detail how the websites will be promoted to exchange participants and how the recipient organization (s) will facilitate participant registration. The Bureau expects that all recipient organization (s) will place a link to both State Alumni and Exchanges Connect on their own websites.

IV.3f. Please take the following information into consideration when preparing your budget:

IV.3f.1. Applicants must submit SF-424A - "Budget Information - Non-Construction Programs" along with a

comprehensive budget for the entire program. There must be a summary budget as well as breakdowns reflecting both administrative and program budgets. Applicants may provide separate sub-budgets for each program component, phase, location, or activity to provide clarification.

For competitions that will be renewed through the option year, applicants must submit:

- A SF-424 signed by an authorized representative that indicates the Recipient's *application* for a *continuation* to this Agreement. Please see, <http://www.grants.gov/assets/SF424Instructions.pdf>;
- A SF-424A that identifies the following grant program functions: base year and option year (second option year, if applicable). The form must be completed in accordance with the instructions for continuing grant program applications. Please see, <http://www.grants.gov/assets/InstructionsSF424A.pdf>;
- The SF-424B, signed by the authorized representative, and any other applicable form(s) required for application in the original Requests For Grant Proposals (RFGP);

- A brief narrative that includes a commitment and justification to support the continuation of the award. The narrative must also include a statement of assurance indicating no material changes have been made to the Recipient's accounting system and/or policies and procedures which may impede the Recipient's performance during a continuance of the Agreement. Furthermore, the justification may incorporate/highlight information from quarterly program reports, but must also expand on the program progress and articulate plans for the next portion of the agreement;
- A detailed budget that reflects the amount of expenditures to date plus anticipated expenditures through the current end date of the award for all line items identified in Data Element 8, Article I, Items of Expenditure. In addition, the detailed budget must identify the funds being requested for each item of expenditure in the upcoming funding period. Specific submission instructions will be provided when the Recipient receives notification from the Bureau of its decision to continue funding the activity/program for an option year.

- A budget narrative that complements the detailed budget and articulates how all cost calculations were achieved while also highlighting all deviations from the base year (or option year one).

To exercise the option year mechanism the recipient will be required to submit:

1. timely quarterly performance and financial reports;
2. a response in writing to a request by ECA
3. a summary budget that projects program expenses through the end of the current year;
4. a detailed budget outlining both administrative and program expenses for the requested option year, and
5. a brief narrative to support the continuation of the award.

The ECA Grants Officer and Program Officer will:

- 1.) closely monitor the recipient's performance through site visits, desk audits, mandatory quarterly performance and financial reports, consultations, and other forms of communication and dialogue before exercising additional option years.
- 2.) review and evaluate all mandatory program and financial reports;

- All program and financial reporting requirements must be current and up-to-date before the Bureau will exercise additional option years.
- Any/all concerns, issues, or modifications requested by the Grants Officer or Program Officer to the original program design or method of implementation must be responded to in writing.
- The Bureau will review the Recipient's request and will inform the Recipient of its decision to approve or disapprove the request before issuing an option year amendment.

IV.3f.2. Allowable costs for the program include the following:

- 1) Staff Salaries and Benefits;
- 2) Office and Program Supplies;
- 3) Telephone and Communications;
- 4) Staff Travel and Per Diem;
- 5) Automatic Data Processing Equipment Maintenance and IT Costs;
- 6) Indirect Costs.

Please refer to the Solicitation Package for complete budget guidelines and formatting instructions.

IV.3g. Application Deadline and Method of Submission:

Application Deadline Date: June 7, 2013

Reference Number: ECA/PE/V-14-05-OY-B

Methods of Submission:

Applications may only be submitted electronically through Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov>). Complete solicitation packages are available at Grants.gov in the "Find" portion of the system.

PLEASE NOTE: ECA bears no responsibility for applicant timeliness of submission or data errors resulting from transmission or conversion processes for proposals submitted via Grants.gov.

Please follow the instructions available in the 'Get Started' portion of the site (<http://www.grants.gov/GetStarted>).

Several of the steps in the Grants.gov registration process could take several weeks. Therefore, applicants should check with appropriate staff within their organizations immediately after reviewing this RFGP to confirm or determine their registration status with Grants.gov.

Once registered, the amount of time it can take to upload an application will vary depending on a variety of factors including the size of the application and the speed of your internet connection. In addition, validation of an electronic submission via Grants.gov can take up to two business days.

Therefore, we strongly recommend that you not wait until the application deadline to begin the submission process through Grants.gov.

The Grants.gov website includes extensive information on all phases/aspects of the Grants.gov process, including an extensive section on frequently asked questions, located under the "For Applicants" section of the website. ECA strongly recommends that all potential applicants review thoroughly the Grants.gov website, well in advance of submitting a proposal through the Grants.gov system.

Direct all questions regarding Grants.gov registration and submission to:

Grants.gov Customer Support

Contact Center Phone: 800 -518-4726

Business Hours: 24 hours a day, 7 days a week; closed on federal holidays

Email: support@grants.gov

Applicants have until midnight (12:00 a.m.), Washington, DC time of the closing date to ensure that their entire application has been uploaded to the Grants.gov site. There are no exceptions to the above deadline. Applications uploaded to the site after midnight of the application deadline date will be automatically rejected by the grants.gov system, and will be technically ineligible.

Please refer to the Grants.gov website, for definitions of various "application statuses" and the difference between a submission receipt and a submission validation. Applicants will receive a validation e-mail from grants.gov upon the successful submission of an application. Again, validation of an electronic submission via Grants.gov can take up to two business days.

It is the responsibility of all applicants submitting proposals via the Grants.gov web portal to ensure that proposals have been received by Grants.gov in their entirety, and ECA bears no responsibility for data errors resulting from transmission or conversion processes.

IV.3h. Intergovernmental Review of Applications: Executive Order 12372 does not apply to this program.

V. Application Review Information

V.1. Review Process

The Bureau will review all proposals for technical eligibility. Proposals will be deemed ineligible if they do not fully adhere to the guidelines stated herein and in the Solicitation Package. All eligible proposals will be reviewed by the program office, as well as the Public Diplomacy section overseas and State Department regional bureaus, where appropriate. Eligible proposals will be subject to compliance with Federal and Bureau regulations and guidelines and forwarded to Bureau grant panels for advisory review. Proposals may also be reviewed by the Office of the Legal Adviser or by other Department elements. Final funding decisions are at the discretion of the Department of State's Assistant Secretary for

Educational and Cultural Affairs. Final technical authority for assistance awards cooperative agreements resides with the Bureau's Grants Officer.

Review Criteria

Technically eligible applications will be competitively reviewed according to the criteria stated below. These criteria are not rank ordered and all carry equal weight in the proposal evaluation:

- 1. Evidence of Understanding/Program Planning:** The proposal should convey that the applicant has a good understanding of the overall goals and objectives of the IVLP. It should exhibit originality, substance, and precision in developing projects, and be responsive to the requirements stated in this document and the renewal solicitation letter. The proposal should contain a detailed and relevant work plan that demonstrates substantive intent and logistical capacity. It must adhere to the statement of work and program guidelines described in the POGI.
- 2. Support of Diversity:** Proposals should demonstrate substantive support of the Bureau's commitment to

promoting the awareness and understanding of diversity. Achievable and relevant features should be cited in both program administration (selection of program resources, interlocutors, and project venues, etc.) and project content (orientation and wrap-up sessions, meetings, resource materials, and follow-up activities). Pursuant to the Bureau's authorizing legislation, programs must maintain a non-political character and should be balanced and representative of the diversity of American political, social, and cultural life. "Diversity" should be interpreted in the broadest sense and encompass differences including, but not limited to ethnicity, race, gender, religion, geographic location, socio-economic status, and disabilities.

3. **Institutional Ability:** The award recipient must maintain a Washington, D.C. presence. The proposal should clearly demonstrate the applicant's capability for performing the type of work required by the IVLP and how the institution will execute its program activities to meet the goals of the program. The proposal should reflect the applicant's ability to design and implement, in a timely and creative manner, professional exchange programs which encompass a

variety of project themes. The applicant must demonstrate the potential for programming IVLP participants from all regions of the world. The proposal should demonstrate an institutional record of a minimum of four years of successful experience in conducting professional exchange programs similar in nature and magnitude to the scope of work outlined in this solicitation. Applicants must demonstrate how their organizations will effectively consult with DOS program officers on a regular basis to ensure that the assigned visitor projects will consistently meet program objectives. Proposals must demonstrate an institutional record of responsible fiscal management and full compliance with all reporting requirements for past Bureau cooperative agreements as determined by Bureau Grants staff. The Bureau will consider the past performance of prior recipients and the demonstrated potential of new applicants.

4. **Project Evaluation:** While award recipients do not have sole responsibility for program evaluation, proposals should describe how the award recipient will evaluate the activity's success, both as the activities unfold and through required reporting at the conclusion of group

projects, and address how lessons learned will be incorporated in future project planning. A description of the methodology used to link outcomes to original project objectives is strongly recommended. Applicants should also indicate how they will evaluate their project management.

- 5. Cost-effectiveness and Cost Sharing:** The overhead and administrative components of the proposal, including salaries and honoraria, should be kept as low as possible. All costs, such as building maintenance, should be necessary and appropriate. Proposals should maximize cost-sharing through other private sector support as well as institutional direct funding contributions.

VI.) Award Administration Information

VI.1a. Award Notices:

Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal Bureau procedures. Successful applicants will receive a Federal Assistance Award (FAA) from the Bureau's Grants Office. The FAA and the original proposal with subsequent modifications (if applicable) shall be the only

binding authorizing document between the recipient and the U.S. Government. The FAA will be signed by an authorized Grants Officer, and mailed to the recipient's responsible officer identified in the application.

Unsuccessful applicants will receive notification of the results of the application review from the ECA program office coordinating this competition.

VI.1b The following additional requirements apply to this project:

For Assistance Awards Involving Iran:

A critical component of current U.S. government Iran policy is the support for indigenous Iranian voices. The State Department has made the awarding of grants for this purpose a key component of its Iran policy. As a condition of licensing these activities, the Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) has requested the Department of State to follow certain procedures to effectuate the goals of Sections 481(b), 531(a), 571, 582, and 635(b) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (as amended); 18 U.S.C. §§ 2339A and 2339B; Executive Order 13224; and Homeland

Security Presidential Directive 6. These licensing conditions mandate that the Department conduct a vetting of potential Iran grantees and sub-grantees for counter-terrorism purposes. To conduct this vetting the Department will collect information from grantees and sub-grantees regarding the identity and background of their key employees and Boards of Directors.

Note: To assure that planning for the inclusion of Iran complies with requirements, please contact Diane Crow, Office of International Visitors, by email crowde@state.gov for additional information.

Prohibition on the use of Federal Funds to Promote, Support, or advocate for the legalization or practice of Prostitution.

The U.S. Government is opposed to prostitution and related activities, which are inherently harmful and dehumanizing, and contribute to the phenomenon of trafficking in persons. None of the funds made available under this agreement may be used to promote, support, or advocate the legalization or practice of prostitution. Nothing in the preceding sentence shall be construed to preclude assistance designed to ameliorate the suffering of, or health risks to, victims

while they are being trafficked or after they are out of the situation that resulted from such victims being trafficked.

The recipient shall insert the foregoing provision in all sub-agreements under this award.

This provision includes express terms and conditions of the agreement and any violation of it shall be grounds for unilateral termination of the agreement by the Department of State prior to the end of its term.

**For Assistance Awards Involving the Palestinian Authority,
West Bank, and Gaza:**

All awards made under this competition must be executed according to all relevant U.S. laws and policies regarding assistance to the Palestinian Authority, and to the West Bank and Gaza. Organizations must consult with relevant Public Affairs Offices before entering into any formal arrangements or agreements with Palestinian organizations or institutions.

Note: To assure that planning for the inclusion of the Palestinian Authority complies with requirements, please contact Diane Crow, Office of International Visitors, by email crowde@state.gov for additional information.

VI.2 Administrative and National Policy Requirements:

Terms and Conditions for the Administration of ECA agreements include the following:

Office of Management and Budget Circular A-122, "Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations."

Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21, "Cost Principles for Educational Institutions."

OMB Circular A-87, "Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Governments."

OMB Circular No. A-110 (Revised), Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Nonprofit Organizations.

OMB Circular No. A-102, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants-in-Aid to State and Local Governments.

OMB Circular No. A-133, Audits of States, Local Government, and Non-profit Organizations

Please reference the following websites for additional information:

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants>.

<http://fa.statebuy.state.gov>

VI.3. Reporting Requirements: You must provide ECA with a hard copy original plus one copy of the following reports:

- 1) Additional performance reports shall not be required more frequently than quarterly or, less frequently than annually. Annual reports shall be due 90 calendar days after the grant year; quarterly or semi-annual reports shall be due 30 days after the reporting period. (Frequency of these reports will be determined by the Grants Officer and Program Officer). The performance reports (PPR) must be submitted electronically to the Grants Office at

reportseca@state.gov with a copy sent to the Program Officer. The Federal Financial Report (FFR SF-425/SF-425a) must be submitted through the Payment Management System (PMS).

The electronic version of the FFR can be accessed at: <http://www.dpm.psc.gov/>. Failure to comply with these reporting requirements may jeopardize the Recipient's eligibility for future Agreements.

- 2) A SF-PPR, "Performance Progress Report" Cover Sheet with all program reports.
- 3) A final program and financial report no more than 90 days after the expiration or termination of the award;
- 4) A concise, one-page final program report summarizing program outcomes no more than 90 days after the expiration of the award. This one-page report will be transmitted to OMB, and be made available to the public via OMB's USAspending.gov website - as part of ECA's Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) reporting requirements.
- 5) A SF-PPR, "Performance Progress Report" Cover Sheet with all program reports.

6) Quarterly financial reports within thirty (30) days following the end of the calendar year quarter. These reports should itemize separately International Visitor costs, Voluntary Visitor costs, English Language Officer/Interpreter costs for International Visitors, English Language Officer/Interpreter costs for Voluntary Visitors, special project costs by projects, and administrative costs for the previous quarter on a cash basis. These reports should also list separately the number of English Language Officers/Interpreters accompanying International Visitors, and the number of English Language Officers/Interpreters accompanying Voluntary Visitors for whom funds are expended. Quarterly financial reports must be certified by the award recipient's chief financial officer or an officer of comparable rank. For further information, please refer to the Project Objectives, Goals, and Implementation (POGI) document.

Award recipients will be required to provide reports analyzing their evaluation findings to the Bureau in their regular program reports. [Please refer to IV. Application and Submission Instructions (IV.3.d.3) above for Program Monitoring and Evaluation information.]

All data collected, including survey responses and contact information, must be maintained for a minimum of three years and provided to the Bureau upon request.

All reports must be sent to the ECA Grants Officer and ECA Program Officer listed in the final assistance award document.

Program Data Requirements:

Award recipients will be required to maintain specific data on program participants and activities in an electronically accessible database format that can be shared with the Bureau as required. As a minimum, the data must include the following:

- 1) Name, address, contact information and biographic sketch of all persons who travel internationally on funds provided by the agreement or who benefit from the award funding but do not travel.

2) Itineraries of international and domestic travel, providing dates of travel and cities in which any exchange experiences take place. Final schedules for in-country and U.S. activities must be received by the ECA Program Officer at least three work days prior to the official opening of the activity.

VII. Agency Contacts

For questions about this announcement, contact: Diane Crow, U.S. Department of State, Office of International Visitors, ECA/PE/V, SA-5, 3rd Floor, ECA/PE/V-14-05-OY-B, 2200 C Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037, telephone (202) 632-6162 and fax (202) 632-9393, or email crowde@state.gov.

All correspondence with the Bureau concerning this RFGP should reference the above title and number ECA/PE/V-14-05-OY-B.

Please read the complete announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

VIII. Other Information:

Notice:

The terms and conditions published in this RFGP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative.

Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding.

Issuance of the RFGP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements per section VI.3 above.

Ann Stock

April 29, 2013

Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs
Department of State